arXiv:1611.04874v1 [math.PR] 15 Nov 2016

Existence and space-time regularity for damped stochastic wave equations on p.c.f. fractals

Weiye Yang

Abstract

It is well-known that a p.c.f. fractal with a regular harmonic structure admits an associated Dirichlet form, which is itself associated with a Laplacian. We use this fact to pose an analogue of the damped stochastic wave equation on the fractal. We show that a unique function-valued solution exists, and then we use a Kolmogorov-type continuity theorem to derive the spatial and temporal Hölder exponents of the solution. Our results extend the analogous results on the stochastic wave equation in one-dimensional Euclidean space. The fractal spaces that we work with always have spectral dimension less than 2, so our results agree with the fact that no function-valued solution to the stochastic wave equation can exist in Euclidean dimension 2 or higher. This sheds light on the "curse of dimensionality" of the stochastic wave equation. Finally we prove some results on the convergence to equilibrium of the solutions.

1 Introduction

The damped stochastic wave equation on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$ is the SPDE given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(t,x) &= -2\beta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t,x) + \Delta u(t,x) + \dot{W}(t,x), \\ u(0,\cdot) &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0,\cdot) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\beta \geq 0$, $\Delta = \Delta_x$ is the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\dot{W}$ is a space-time white noise on $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, where we interpret $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as space and $t \in [0,\infty)$ as time. The equation (1.1) can equivalently be written as a system of stochastic evolution equations in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} du(t) &= \dot{u}(t)dt, \\ d\dot{u}(t) &= -2\beta \dot{u}(t)dt + \Delta u(t)dt + dW(t), \\ u(0) &= \dot{u}(0) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \end{aligned}$$

where W is a cylindrical Wiener process on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the solution u and its (formal) derivative $\dot{u}$ are processes taking values in some space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Here we have used instead the differential notation of stochastic calculus as the derivatives in (1.1) only

exist in some weak sense. The damped stochastic wave equation (SWE) was introduced in [Cab70] in the case $n = 1$, and a unique solution was found by Fourier transform. If $\beta = 0$, this solution has a neat characterisation given in [Wal86, Theorem 3.1] as a rotated modified Brownian sheet in $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, and this immediately implies that it is jointly Hölder continuous in space and time for any Hölder exponent less than $\frac{1}{2}$. These properties, however, do not carry over into spatial dimensions $n \geq 2$. Indeed, for dimensions greater than 1 a solution to (1.1) still exists, but it is not function-valued. It is necessary to expand our space beyond $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to include certain distributions in order to make sense of the solution. This is related to the fact that n-dimensional Brownian motion has local times if and only if $n = 1$, see [FKN11] and further references. There is thus a distinct change in the behaviour of the SPDE (1.1) between dimensions $n = 1$ and $n \geq 2$. One of the aims of the present paper is to investigate the behaviour of the SPDE in the case that the spatial dimension is in the interval $(1, 2)$, for some extended definition of dimension to be determined. When does a function-valued solution exist, and if it does, what are its space-time Hölder exponents? To answer these questions we introduce a class of fractals.

The theory of analysis on fractals started with the construction of a symmetric diffusion on the two-dimensional Sierpinski gasket in [Gol87], [Kus87] and [BP88], which is now known as *Brownian motion on the Sierpinski gasket*. The field has grown quickly since then; [Kig01] and [Bar98] are good reference texts. The study of fractals has led to a number of distinct extensions of the definition of the dimension of a space, which agree on Euclidean space but not in general. Additionally, it has been shown ([Kig01]) that a certain class of fractals, known as *post-critically finite self-similar* (or *p.c.f.s.s.*) sets with *regular harmonic structures*, admit operators L akin to the Laplacian Δ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This class includes many well-known fractals such as the n-dimensional Sierpinski gasket (for $n \geq 2$) and the Vicsek fractal. The operators L generate symmetric diffusions on their respective fractals in the same way that Δ is the generator of Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and we therefore refer to them also as Laplacians, see [Bar98]. In particular, the existence of a Laplacian L on a given fractal F allows us to pose PDEs such as the heat equation and the wave equation on F. The heat equation on F has been widely studied, see [Kig01, Chapter 5] and further references, however the wave equation has received far less attention, see for example [Hu02] and [DSV99]. It is possible in the same way to pose certain SPDEs on these fractals; the subject of the present paper is the damped stochastic wave equation on F. We will see that the *spectral dimension* d_s of any of these fractals satisfies $d_s < 2$, and that this is the correct definition of dimension to use when determining the behaviour of the SPDE.

Previous work on hyperbolic SPDEs on fractals is sparse. In [FKN11] it is mentioned that the stochastic heat equation on certain fractals has a so-called "random-field" solution as long as the dimension is less than 2. The stochastic wave equation is studied elsewhere in the paper but an analogous result is not given. In [HY16] the stochastic heat equation on p.c.f.s.s. sets with regular harmonic structures is shown to have continuous function-valued solutions, and its spatial and temporal Hölder exponents are computed; this can be seen to be the direct predecessor of the present paper and is the source of

many of the ideas that we use in the following sections.

The structure of the present paper is as follows: In the next subsection we set up the problem, state the precise SPDE to be solved and summarise the main results of the paper. In Section 2 we make precise the definition of a solution to the damped stochastic wave equation and prove the existence of a unique solution u in the form of an $\mathcal{L}^2$-valued process. In fact this solution turns out to be Hölder continuous in $\mathcal{L}^2$, a result which is the main subject of Section 3. In Section 4 we show that the point evaluations $u(t,x)$ are well-defined random variables, a necessary condition for us to be able to consider matters of continuity in space and time. In Section 5 we utilise a Kolmogorov-type continuity theorem for fractals proven in [HY16] to deduce the spatial and temporal Hölder exponents of the solution u. In Section 6 we give results that describe the long-time behaviour of the solutions for any given set of parameters, in particular whether or not they eventually settle down into some equilibrium measure.

1.1 Description of the problem

We use an identical set-up to [HY16]. Let $M \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $(F, (\psi_i)_{i=1}^M)$ be a connected p.c.f.s.s. set (see [Kig01]) such that F is a compact metric space and the $\psi_i : F \to F$ are injective strict contractions on F. Let $I = \{1, \dots, M\}$ and for each $n \geq 0$ let $\mathbb{W}_n = I^n$. Let $\mathbb{W}_* = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathbb{W}_n$ and let $\mathbb{W} = I^{\mathbb{N}}$. We call the sets $\mathbb{W}_n$, $\mathbb{W}_*$ and $\mathbb{W}$ *word spaces* and we call their elements *words*. Note that $\mathbb{W}_0$ is a singleton containing an element known as the *empty word*. Words $w \in \mathbb{W}_n$ or $w \in \mathbb{W}$ will be written in the form $w = w_1 w_2 w_3 \dots$ with $w_i \in I$ for each i. For a word $w = w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{W}_*$, let $\psi_w = \psi_{w_1} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{w_n}$ and let $F_w = \psi_w(F)$.

If $\mathbb{W}$ is endowed with the standard product topology then there is a canonical continuous surjection $\pi : \mathbb{W} \to F$ given in [Bar98, Lemma 5.10]. Let $P \subset \mathbb{W}$ be the post-critical set of $(F, (\psi_i)_{i=1}^M)$, which is finite by assumption. Then let $F^0 = \pi(P)$, and for each $n \geq 1$ let $F^n = \bigcup_{w\in\mathbb{W}_n} \psi_w(F^0)$. Let $F_* = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty F^n$. It is easily shown that $(F^n)_{n\geq 0}$ is an increasing sequence of finite subsets and that F_* is dense in F.

Let the pair $(A_0, \mathbf{r})$ be a regular irreducible harmonic structure on $(F, (\psi_i)_{i=1}^M)$ such that $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_M) \in \mathbb{R}^M$ for some constants $r_i > 0$, $i \in I$ (harmonic structures are defined in [Kig01, Section 3.1]). Here *regular* means that $r_i \in (0,1)$ for all i. Let $r_{\min} = \min_{i\in I} r_i$ and $r_{\max} = \max_{i\in I} r_i$. If $n \geq 0$, $w = w_1, \dots w_n \in \mathbb{W}$ then write $r_w := \prod_{i=1}^n r_{w_i}$. Let $d_H > 0$ be the unique number such that

$$\sum_{i\in I} r_i^{d_H} = 1.$$

Then let μ be the self-similar probability measure on F such that for any $n \geq 0$, if $w \in \mathbb{W}_n$ then $\mu(F_w) = r_w^{d_H}$. In other words, μ is the self-similar measure on F in the sense of [Kig01, Section 1.4] associated with the weights $r_i^{d_H}$ on I. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$ be the regular local Dirichlet form on $\mathcal{L}^2(F, \mu)$ associated with this harmonic structure, as given by [Kig01, Theorem 3.4.6]. This Dirichlet form is associated with a resistance metric R on F, defined by

$$R(x,y) = \left(\inf\{\mathcal{E}(f,f) : f(x) = 0, f(y) = 1, f \in \mathcal{D}\}\right)^{-1},$$

which generates the original topology on F, by [Kig01, Theorem 3.3.4]. Now let $2^{F^0} = \{b : b \subseteq F^0\}$ be the power set of F^0. Let $\mathcal{D}_{F^0} = \mathcal{D}$, and for proper subsets $b \in 2^{F^0}$ let

$$\mathcal{D}_b = \{f \in \mathcal{D} : f|_{F^0 \setminus b} = 0\}.$$

Then similarly to [Kig01, Corollary 3.4.7], $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_b)$ is also a regular local Dirichlet form on $\mathcal{L}^2(F, \mu)$. If $b = F^0$ then we may equivalently write $b = N$, and if $b = \emptyset$ then we may equivalently write $b = D$, see [HY16]. The letters N and D indicate *Neumann* and *Dirichlet* boundary conditions respectively, and all other values of b indicate a *mixed* boundary condition. Intuitively, b gives the subset of F^0 of points that are free to move under the influence of the SPDE, whereas the remaining elements of F^0 are fixed at the value 0.

Let $b \in 2^{F^0}$. By [Bar98, Chapter 4], associated with the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_b)$ on $\mathcal{L}^2(F, \mu)$ is a μ-symmetric diffusion $X^b = (X^b_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on F which itself is associated with a C_0-semigroup of contractions $S^b = (S^b_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $\mathcal{L}^2(F, \mu)$. Let L_b be the generator of this diffusion. If $b = N$ then X^N has infinite lifetime, by [Bar98, Lemma 4.10]. On the other hand, if b is a proper subset of F^0 then the process X^b has the law of a version of X^N which is killed at the points $F^0 \setminus b$, by [FOT10, Section 4.4].

Example 1.1. ([HY16, Example 1.1]) Let $F = [0, 1]$ and take *any* $M \geq 2$. For $1 \leq i \leq M$ let $\psi_i : F \to F$ be the affine map such that $\psi_i(0) = \frac{i-1}{M}$, $\psi_i(1) = \frac{i}{M}$. It follows that $F^0 = \{0, 1\}$. Let $r_i = M^{-1}$ for all $i \in I$ and let

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then all the conditions given above are satisfied. We have $\mathcal{D} = H^1[0, 1]$ and $\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \int_0^1 f'g'$. The generators L_N and L_D are respectively the standard Neumann and Dirichlet Laplacians on $[0, 1]$. The induced resistance metric R is none other than the standard Euclidean metric.

The *damped stochastic wave equation* that we consider in the present paper is the SPDE (system) given by

$$\begin{aligned} du(t) &= \dot{u}(t)dt, \\ d\dot{u}(t) &= -2\beta\dot{u}(t)dt + L_b u(t)dt + dW(t), \\ u(0) &= \dot{u}(0) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}^2(F, \mu), \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\beta \geq 0$ the *damping coefficient* and $b \in 2^{F^0}$ the *boundary conditions* are parameters, and $W = (W(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a cylindrical Wiener process on $\mathcal{L}^2(F, \mu)$. That is, W satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\langle f, W(s)\rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2(F,\mu)} \langle W(t), g\rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2(F,\mu)}\right] = (s \wedge t)\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2(F,\mu)}$$

for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^2(F, \mu)$. We would like the solution process $u = (u(t))_{t \geq 0}$ to be $\mathcal{L}^2(F, \mu)$-valued, however it is not clear whether or not the same should be required of the first-derivative process $\dot{u} = (\dot{u}(t))_{t \geq 0}$. This will be clarified in the following section.

The main results of the present paper (Theorems 2.15, 4.8 and 5.6) can be summarised as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Equip F with its resistance metric R. The SPDE (1.2) has a unique solution which is a stochastic process $u = (u(t,x) : (t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times F)$, which is almost surely jointly continuous in $[0,\infty) \times F$. For each $t \in [0,\infty)$, $u(t,\cdot)$ is almost surely essentially $\frac{1}{2}$-Hölder continuous in (F,R). For each $x \in F$, $u(\cdot,x)$ is almost surely essentially $(1-\frac{d_s}{2})$-Hölder continuous in the Euclidean metric, where $d_s \in (0,2)$ is the spectral dimension of (F,R).*

The precise meaning of *essentially* is given in Definition 3.3. We see that the Hölder exponents given in the above theorem agree with the case "$F = \mathbb{R}$" described in the Introduction—there we have $d_s = 1$, and the solution is a rotation of a modified Brownian sheet so it has essential Hölder exponent $\frac{1}{2}$ in every direction. Of course $\mathbb{R}$ is not compact so it doesn't exactly fit into our set-up, but we get a similar result by considering the interval $[0,1]$ instead, see Example 1.1.

Example 1.3 (Hata's tree-like set)**.** See [Kig01, Figure 1.4] for a diagram. This p.c.f. fractal takes a parameter $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|c|, |1-c| \in (0,1)$, with $F^0 = \{c, 0, 1\}$, as described in [Kig01, Example 3.1.6]. It has a collection of regular harmonic structures given by

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -h & h & 0 \\ h & -(h+1) & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\mathbf{r} = (h^{-1}, 1-h^{-2})$ for $h \in (1,\infty)$, and these all fit into our set-up. In the introduction to [Wal86] the stochastic wave equation on the unit interval is said to describe the motion of a guitar string in a sandstorm (as long as we specify Dirichlet boundary conditions). Likewise, by taking $b = \{c, 1\}$ in our tree-like set, we are "planting" it at the point 0 so the associated stochastic wave equation approximately describes the motion of a tree in a sandstorm.

For more examples that fit into our set-up, see [HY16, Example 1.3].

Remark 1.4. The resistance metric R is not a particularly intuitive metric on F. However, many fractals have a natural embedding in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, and subject to mild conditions on F it can be shown that R is equivalent to some positive power of the Euclidean metric, see [HW06]. An example is the n-dimensional Sierpinski gasket described in [HY16, Example 1.3] with $n \geq 2$. In [HW06, Section 3] it is shown that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$c^{-1}|x-y|^{d_w-d_f} \leq R(x,y) \leq c|x-y|^{d_w-d_f}$$

for all $x, y \in F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, where $d_w = \frac{\log(n+3)}{\log 2}$ is the *walk dimension* of the gasket and $d_f = \frac{\log(n+1)}{\log 2}$ is its Euclidean Hausdorff dimension. It follows that the above theorem holds (with a different spatial Hölder exponent) if R is replaced with a Euclidean metric on F.

2 Existence and uniqueness of solution

In this section we will make explicit the meaning of a *solution* to the SPDE (1.2), and show that such a solution exists and is unique.

Definition 2.1. Henceforth let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(F, \mu)$ and denote its inner product by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\mu$. Moreover, for $\lambda > 0$ let $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$ be the space $\mathcal{D}$ equipped with the inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda := \mathcal{E}(\cdot, \cdot) + \lambda \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\mu.$$

Since $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$ is closed, $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$ is a Hilbert space.

Remark 2.2. The space $\mathcal{D}$ contains only $\frac{1}{2}$-Hölder continuous functions since by the definition of the resistance metric we have that

$$|f(x) - f(y)|^2 \leq R(x, y)\mathcal{E}(f, f) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and all $x, y \in F$. Therefore for each $b \in 2^{F^0}$, $\mathcal{D}_b$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$ with finite codimension $|F^0 \setminus b|$. It follows that $\mathcal{D}_b$ is closed in $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$.

2.1 Preliminaries

We start with a couple of very useful results on the operator L_b. If A is a linear operator on $\mathcal{H}$ then we denote the domain of A by $\mathcal{D}(A)$. If A is bounded, then let $\|A\|$ be its operator norm.

Proposition 2.3 (Self-adjointness)**.** *Let $b \in 2^{F^0}$. The operator $-L_b$ is the non-negative self-adjoint operator associated with the form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_b)$, in the sense that $\mathcal{D}_b = \mathcal{D}((-L_b)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and*

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \langle (-L_b)^{\frac{1}{2}} f, (-L_b)^{\frac{1}{2}} g \rangle_\mu$$

for all $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_b$.

Proof. Proofs for $b \in \{N, D\}$ are given in [HY16, Proposition 2.3]. The method is the same for all $b \in 2^{F^0}$. □

The above result justifies the term *Laplacian* for the operators L_b. It reflects the relationship between the Laplacian Δ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the standard Dirichlet form $(f, g) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (with suitable boundary conditions). In particular L_N is the *Neumann Laplacian* and L_D is the *Dirichlet Laplacian*.

Definition 2.4. The unique real $d_H > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i \in I} r_i^{d_H} = 1$$

is the *Hausdorff dimension* of (F, R), see [Kig01, Theorem 1.5.7].

The *spectral dimension* of (F, R) is given by

$$d_s = \frac{2d_H}{d_H + 1},$$

see [Kig01, Theorem 4.1.5 and Theorem 4.2.1].

Remark 2.5. We see that a priori, $d_s < 2$ if and only if the harmonic structure $(A_0, \mathbf{r})$ is regular.

Proposition 2.6 (Spectral theory)**.** *For $b \in 2^{F^0}$ the following statements hold:*

There exists a complete orthonormal basis $(\varphi_k^b)_{k=1}^\infty$ of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of eigenfunctions of the operator $-L_b$. The corresponding eigenvalues $(\lambda_k^b)_{k=1}^\infty$ are non-negative and $\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_k^b = \infty$. We assume that they are given in ascending order:

$$0 \le \lambda_1^b \le \lambda_2^b \le \cdots .$$

There exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that if $k \ge 2$ then

$$c_1 k^{\frac{2}{d_s}} \le \lambda_k^b \le c_2 k^{\frac{2}{d_s}}.$$

Proof. [Kig01, Lemma 3.4.5] shows that the inclusion $\iota_\mu : \mathcal{D}^1 \to \mathcal{H}$ is compact. It follows that the restriction of ι_μ to $\mathcal{D}_b$ is compact for any $b \in 2^{F^0}$, and $\mathcal{D}_b$ is closed in $\mathcal{D}^1$ by Remark 2.2. The existence of the complete orthonormal basis $(\varphi_k^b)_{k=1}^\infty$ then follows by Proposition 2.3 and [Kig01, Theorem B.1.13]. For $b \in \{N, D\}$, the estimate $c_1 k^{\frac{2}{d_s}} \le \lambda_k^b \le c_2 k^{\frac{2}{d_s}}$ is proven in [HY16, Proposition 2.9]. Note that for $b \in 2^{F^0}$ we have that $\mathcal{D}_D \subseteq \mathcal{D}_b \subseteq \mathcal{D}_N = \mathcal{D}$. Then [Kig01, Theorem 4.1.7] implies that $\lambda_k^N \le \lambda_k^b \le \lambda_k^D$ for all $k \ge 1$. □

Remark 2.7. We have $k \ge 2$ in the above proposition because of the possibility that $\lambda_1^b = 0$. This occurs if and only if the non-zero constant functions are elements of $\mathcal{D}_b$, if and only if $b = N$. If this is the case, it follows that $\varphi_1^b \equiv 1$ and the eigenvalue 0 has multiplicity 1, so $\lambda_2^b > 0$. See [HY16, Remark 2.11]. In the case $b \ne N$ we assume that the constants c_1, c_2 in the proposition above are chosen such that the result in fact holds for $k \ge 1$.

Since for each $b \in 2^{F^0}$ we have an orthonormal basis $(\varphi_k^b)_{k=1}^\infty$, any element $f \in \mathcal{H}$ has a series representation

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_k \varphi_k^b$$

where $f_k = \langle \varphi_k^b, f \rangle_\mu$. Then if Ξ is a map $\Xi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, the operator $\Xi(-L_b) : \mathcal{D}(\Xi(-L_b)) \to \mathcal{H}$ is well-defined, self-adjoint, and has the representation

$$\Xi(-L_b) f = \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_k \Xi(\lambda_k^b) \varphi_k^b,$$

where the domain $\mathcal{D}(\Xi(-L_b)))$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ of exactly those f for which the above expression is in $\mathcal{H}$. In fact the operator $\Xi(-L_b)$ is densely defined since $\varphi_k^b \in \mathcal{D}(\Xi(-L_b)))$ for all k. This theory is known as the *functional calculus*, see [RS81, Theorem VIII.5].

In particular, if $\alpha \ge 0$ then $(1 - L_b)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is an invertible operator on $\mathcal{H}$, and its inverse $(1 - L_b)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{H}$ which is a bijection from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{D}((1 - L_b)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})$.

Definition 2.8. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ be a real number and $b \in 2^{F^0}$. The bounded operator $(1-L_b)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is called a *Bessel potential operator*, compare [Str03], [IZ15]. Let $\mathcal{H}^{-\alpha}$ be the closure of $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to the inner product given by

$$(f,g) \mapsto \langle (1-L_b)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f, (1-L_b)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} g \rangle_\mu.$$

$\mathcal{H}^{-\alpha}$ is called a *Sobolev space*, compare [Str03]. It will shortly be shown to be independent of $b \in 2^{F^0}$.

Remark 2.9. Since $\varphi_k^b \in \mathcal{D}((1-L_b)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})$ for all b and all k, we have that $\mathcal{D}((1-L_b)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. It follows that the operator $(1-L_b)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} : \mathcal{D}((1-L_b)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \to \mathcal{H}$ extends to a unitary operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}^{-\alpha}$ characterised by

$$(1-L_b)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k \varphi_k^b \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1+\lambda_k^b)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f_k \varphi_k^b.$$

Then by Proposition 2.6, $\mathcal{H}^{-\alpha}$ is independent of $b \in 2^{F^0}$ (up to equivalence of inner products). It is also easy to see from the above equation that if $b \in 2^{F^0}$ then $\left((1+\lambda_k^b)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi_k^b \right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}^{-\alpha}$ with respect to the inner product associated with b.

2.2 Solutions to the SPDE

Let $\oplus$ denote direct sum of Hilbert spaces. Let $\alpha \geq 0$. The SPDE (1.2) is clearly intimately related to the first-order SPDE on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-\alpha}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} dU(t) &= \mathcal{A}_{b,\beta} U(t) dt + d\mathcal{W}(t), \\ U(0) &= 0 \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{b,\beta} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ L_b & -2\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

is a densely defined operator on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-\alpha}$ with $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-\alpha}) = \mathcal{D}(L_b^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})\vee 0}) \oplus \mathcal{H}$ and

$$\mathcal{W} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ W \end{pmatrix}.$$

There is a precise definition of a solution to evolution equations of the form (2.2) which is given in [dPZ14, Chapter 5], so we can now finally define the notion of a solution to the second-order SPDE (1.2). Since it is not a priori clear what value of α should be picked, we allow for it to be freely chosen.

Definition 2.10. Let $T > 0$. An $\mathcal{H}$-valued process $u = (u(t))_{t=0}^T$ is a *solution* to the SPDE (1.2) if there exists $\alpha \geq 0$ and an $\mathcal{H}^{-\alpha}$-valued process $\dot{u} = (\dot{u}(t))_{t=0}^T$ such that

$$U := \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \dot{u} \end{pmatrix}$$

is an $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-\alpha}$-valued solution to the SPDE (2.2) in the sense of [dPZ14]. If $T = \infty$ then it is a *global* solution.

We shall soon see that the particular value $\alpha = 1$ in fact allows us to derive a unique global solution.

Definition 2.11. For $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$, let $V_\beta(\lambda, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the unique solution to the second-order ODE

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d^2 v}{dt^2} + 2\beta \frac{dv}{dt} + \lambda v = 0, \\ &v(0) = 0, \ \frac{dv}{dt}(0) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Explicitly,

$$V_\beta(\lambda, t) = \begin{cases} (\beta^2 - \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta t} \sinh\left((\beta^2 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} t\right) & \lambda < \beta^2, \\ t e^{-\beta t} & \lambda = \beta^2, \\ (\lambda - \beta^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta t} \sin\left((\lambda - \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} t\right) & \lambda > \beta^2. \end{cases}$$

For fixed λ and β, this function is evidently smooth in any open interval of t containing $[0, \infty)$. Let $\dot{V}_\beta(\lambda, t) = \frac{d}{dt} V_\beta(\lambda, t)$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$, which can easily be computed explicitly. Compare [Cab70, Lemma 2.1].

Remark 2.12. The different forms of V_β correspond respectively to the motion of overdamped, critically damped and underdamped oscillators.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\alpha = 1$. Then for each $\beta \geq 0$ and $b \in 2^{F^0}$, the operator $\mathcal{A}_{b,\beta}$ generates a semigroup $\mathcal{S}^{b,\beta} = (\mathcal{S}_t^{b,\beta})_{t \geq 0}$ on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}$ such that $\|\mathcal{S}_t^{b,\beta}\| \leq e^{\frac{t}{2}}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, the right column of $\mathcal{S}_t^{b,\beta}$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{S}_t^{b,\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & V_\beta(-L_b, t) \\ \cdot & \dot{V}_\beta(-L_b, t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\mathcal{A}_{b,\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ L_b & -2\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{D}(L_b^{\frac{1}{2}})$, $g \in \mathcal{H}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \mathcal{A}_{b,\beta} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}} \\ &= \langle g, f \rangle_\mu + \langle L_b (1 - L_b)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f, (1 - L_b)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g \rangle_\mu - 2\beta \|(1 - L_b)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g\|_\mu^2 \\ &= \langle f, (1 - L_b)^{-1} g \rangle_\mu - 2\beta \|(1 - L_b)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g\|_\mu^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_\mu^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|(1 - L_b)^{-1} g\|_\mu^2 - 2\beta \|(1 - L_b)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g\|_\mu^2 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Now $\|(1-L_b)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\| \leq 1$ by the functional calculus. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \left(\mathcal{A}_{b,\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{H}^{-1}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\|(1-L_b)^{-1}g\|_\mu^2 - \|(1-L_b)^{-\frac{1}{2}}g\|_\mu^2\right) - 2\beta\|(1-L_b)^{-\frac{1}{2}}g\|_\mu^2 \\
&\leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that the operator $\mathcal{A}_{b,\beta} - \frac{1}{2}$ is *dissipative*. Moreover, it can be easily checked that the operator $\lambda - \mathcal{A}_{b,\beta}$ is invertible for any $\lambda > 0$ with inverse

$$(\lambda - \mathcal{A}_{b,\beta})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\beta+\lambda & 1 \\ L_b & \lambda \end{pmatrix} (\lambda(\lambda+2\beta) - L_b)^{-1}.$$

Thus by the Lumer–Phillips theorem (see [EN99, Theorem II.3.15]), $\mathcal{A}_{b,\beta} - \frac{1}{2}$ generates a contraction semigroup on $\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{H}^{-1}$. It follows that $\mathcal{A}_{b,\beta}$ generates a semigroup $\mathcal{S}^{b,\beta} = (\mathcal{S}_t^{b,\beta})_{t\geq 0}$ on $\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{H}^{-1}$ such that $\|\mathcal{S}_t^{b,\beta}\| \leq e^{\frac{t}{2}}$ for all $t \geq 0$. To characterise the semigroup $\mathcal{S}$, we first observe that $\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{H}^{-1}$ has a complete orthonormal basis given by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_k^b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1+\lambda_k^b)^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_k^b \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{D}(L_b^{\frac{1}{2}})$, $g \in \mathcal{H}$ with $f_k = \langle \varphi_k^b, f\rangle_\mu$ and $g_k = \langle (1+\lambda_k^b)^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_k^b, g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}$ for each k then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{b,\beta}\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ L_b & -2\beta \end{pmatrix}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_k^b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1+\lambda_k^b)^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_k^b \end{pmatrix}\right] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda_k^b & -2\beta \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_k^b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda_k^b & -2\beta \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1+\lambda_k^b)^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_k^b \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore to compute the semigroup $\mathcal{S}^{b,\beta}$ it will suffice to take a simple matrix exponential. We see that

$$\exp\left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda_k^b & -2\beta \end{pmatrix} t\right] = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t) \\ \cdot & \dot{V}_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where the left column of the matrix is not computed as it is not important. To verify the right column, consider the second-order real ODE given in (2.3) as an evolution equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$ started at the point $(0,1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. It follows that if $f \in \mathcal{D}(L_b^{\frac{1}{2}})$, $g \in \mathcal{H}$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{S}_t^{b,\beta}\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t) \\ \cdot & \dot{V}_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t) \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_k^b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t) \\ \cdot & \dot{V}_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t) \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (1+\lambda_k^b)^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_k^b \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & V_\beta(-L_b, t) \\ \cdot & \dot{V}_\beta(-L_b, t) \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the result by a density argument. □

Proposition 2.14. *Let $\alpha = 1$. Then for each $\beta \geq 0$ and $b \in 2^{F^0}$ there is a unique global $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}$-valued solution U to the SPDE (2.2) given by*

$$U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^t V_\beta(-L_b, t-s)dW(s) \\ \int_0^t \dot{V}_\beta(-L_b, t-s)dW(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, it is a centred Gaussian process.

Proof. Following [dPZ14, Section 5.1.2], we define the stochastic convolution

$$W_\beta^b(t) := \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_{t-s}^{b,\beta} d\mathcal{W}(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_{t-s}^{b,\beta} \mathcal{B} dW(t)$$

for $t \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}$ is the (bounded linear) map $f \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f \end{pmatrix}$. By [dPZ14, Theorem 5.4], it suffices to show that

$$\int_0^T \left\| \mathcal{S}_t^{b,\beta} \mathcal{B} \right\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}(\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1})} dt < \infty$$

for all $T > 0$, where $\| \cdot \|_{\mathrm{HS}(\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1})}$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of operators from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \left\| \mathcal{S}_t^{b,\beta} \mathcal{B} \right\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}(\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1})} dt &= \int_0^T \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left\| \mathcal{S}_t^{b,\beta} \mathcal{B} \varphi_k^b \right\|^2_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}} dt \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^T \left\| \begin{pmatrix} V_\beta(-L_b, t)\varphi_k^b \\ \dot{V}_\beta(-L_b, t)\varphi_k^b \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}} dt \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^T V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t)^2 dt + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^T (1 + \lambda_k^b)^{-1} \dot{V}_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t)^2 dt,
\end{aligned}$$

and we treat the above two sums separately.

Now $V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, \cdot)^2$ is clearly always integrable in $[0, T]$ so the only thing that can go wrong is the sum. Since there are only finitely many k such that $\lambda_k^b \leq \beta^2$, it suffices to consider the case $\lambda_k^b > \beta^2$. In this case we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t)^2 dt &= (\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{-1} \int_0^T e^{-2\beta t} \sin^2\left((\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \right) dt \\
&\leq (\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{-1} T.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^T V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t)^2 dt = \sum_{k : \lambda_k^b \leq \beta^2} \int_0^T V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t)^2 dt + T \sum_{k : \lambda_k^b > \beta^2} (\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{-1}$$

which is finite. The first term on the right-hand side is finite since the sum is finite by Proposition 2.6, and the second term is finite by Proposition 2.6 and the fact that $d_s < 2$. We use a similar method for the $\dot{V}_\beta$ sum. It thus follows from [dPZ14, Theorem 5.4] that the SPDE (2.2) has a unique global solution $U = (U(t))_{t=0}^\infty$ given by

$$U(t) = W_\beta^b(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^t V_\beta(-L_b, t-s)dW(s) \\ \int_0^t \dot{V}_\beta(-L_b, t-s)dW(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is a Gaussian process by [dPZ14, Theorem 5.2]. As a stochastic integral of a cylindrical Wiener process, it is centred. □

Theorem 2.15 (Solution to (1.2))**.** *There exists a unique global solution u to the SPDE* (1.2) *given by*

$$u(t) = \int_0^t V_\beta(-L_b, t-s)dW(s)$$

which is a centred Gaussian process on $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Existence is given directly by Proposition 2.14, and yields the required centred Gaussian process u as a solution. For uniqueness, suppose that $\tilde{u}$ is another solution. Set $u' = \tilde{u} - u$, which is an $\mathcal{H}$-valued process. If we let $u'_k = \langle \varphi_k^b, u' \rangle_\mu$ for each $k \geq 1$ then the fact that both u and $\tilde{u}$ are solutions to the SPDE (1.2) implies that u'_k solves the ODE

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2v}{dt^2} + 2\beta\frac{dv}{dt} + \lambda_k^b v &= 0, \\ v(0) = \frac{dv}{dt}(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $u'_k \equiv 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, and so $u' \equiv 0$ since the φ_k^b are a complete orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$. □

Now that we have our solution u to (1.2) given by Theorem 2.15, we show that it has a nice eigenfunction decomposition. Let $u_k = \varphi_k^{b*} u$ for $k \geq 1$, where $\varphi_k^{b*} \in \mathcal{H}^*$ denotes the bounded linear functional $f \mapsto \langle \varphi_k^b, f \rangle_\mu$. We see that

$$u_k(t) = \int_0^t V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t-s)\varphi_k^{b*} dW(s),$$

and it can be easily shown that $(\varphi_k^{b*} W)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of independent standard real Brownian motions.

Definition 2.16 (Series representation of solution)**.** Let $\beta \geq 0$ and $b \in 2^{F^0}$. For $k \geq 0$ let $Y_k^{b,\beta} = (Y_k^{b,\beta}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be the centred real-valued Gaussian process given by

$$Y_k^{b,\beta}(t) = \int_0^t V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t-s)\varphi_k^{b*} dW(s).$$

The family $(Y_k^{b,\beta})_{k=1}^\infty$ is obviously independent, and if u is the solution to (1.2) for the given values of β and b then

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k^{b,\beta} \varphi_k^b. \tag{2.4}$$

Remark 2.17 (Non-zero initial conditions). For a moment we consider the SPDE

$$\begin{aligned} du(t) &= \dot{u}(t)dt, \\ d\dot{u}(t) &= -2\beta\dot{u}(t)dt + L_b u(t)dt + dW(t), \\ u(0) &= f,\ \dot{u}(0) = g. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

This is simply the SPDE (1.2) with possibly non-zero initial conditions. We can characterise the solutions of this SPDE using the deterministic PDE

$$\begin{aligned} du(t) &= \dot{u}(t)dt, \\ d\dot{u}(t) &= -2\beta\dot{u}(t)dt + L_b u(t)dt, \\ u(0) &= f,\ \dot{u}(0) = g, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

which is studied in [DSV99] and [Hu02] in the case $\beta = 0$. Let u be the unique solution to (1.2) given in Theorem 2.15. Then it is clear that a process $\tilde{u}$ solves (2.5) if and only if $\tilde{u} - u$ solves (2.6).

3 $\mathcal{L}^2$-Hölder continuity

The first regularity property of the solution $u = (u(t))_{t=0}^\infty$ to (1.2) that we will consider is Hölder continuity in $\mathcal{H}$, when u is interpreted as a random function $u : [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{H}$. Note that whenever we write u like this we are suppressing the dependence of u on the underlying probability space.

To prove our continuity result we need a generic result [HY16, Lemma 5.2]:

Lemma 3.1. *Consider the sum*

$$\sigma_{ab}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k^{a-1} \wedge k^{b-1} t \right)$$

for $t \in [0, \infty)$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants. Then the following hold:

(1). If $a, b \geq 0$ then $\sigma_{ab}(t)$ diverges.

(2). If $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b < 0$ then there exists $C_{a,b} > 0$ such that $\sigma_{ab}(t) \leq C_{a,b} t$ for all t.

(3). If $a < 0$, $b \geq 0$ then there exists $C_{a,b} > 0$ such that $\sigma_{ab}(t) \leq C_{a,b} t^{\frac{-a}{b-a}}$ for all t.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $u : [0,\infty) \to \mathcal{H}$ be the solution to the SPDE (1.2). For every $T > 0$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|u(s) - u(s+t)\|_\mu^2\right] \leq Ct^{2-d_s}$$

for all $s, t \geq 0$ such that $s, s+t \in [0,T]$.

Proof. By Itō's isometry for Hilbert spaces,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\|u(s) - u(s+t)\|_\mu^2\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\int_0^{s+t} \left(V_\beta(-L_b, s+t-t') - V_\beta(-L_b, s-t')\mathbb{1}_{\{t'\leq s\}}\right) dW(t')\right\|_\mu^2\right] \\
&= \int_0^{s+t} \left\|V_\beta(-L_b, s+t-t') - V_\beta(-L_b, s-t')\mathbb{1}_{\{t'\leq s\}}\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}(\mathcal{H})}^2 dt',
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}(\mathcal{H})}$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm for operators from $\mathcal{H}$ to itself. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\|u(s) - u(s+t)\|_\mu^2\right] \\
&= \int_0^s \left\|V_\beta(-L_b, t+t') - V_\beta(-L_b, t')\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}(\mathcal{H})}^2 dt' + \int_0^t \left\|V_\beta(-L_b, t')\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}(\mathcal{H})}^2 dt' \qquad (3.1)\\
&= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_0^s \left(V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t+t') - V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t')\right)^2 dt' + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_0^t \left(V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t')\right)^2 dt'
\end{aligned}$$

and we treat each of the above two sums separately. Notice that by Proposition 2.6 there are only finitely many k such that $\lambda_k^b \leq \beta^2$.

We consider the first sum of (3.1), and we first look at the case $\lambda_k^b > \beta^2$. Then using standard facts about the Lipschitz coefficients of the functions exp and sin in $[0,T]$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^s \left(V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t+t') - V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t')\right)^2 dt' \\
&= (\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{-1} \int_0^s \left(e^{-\beta(t+t')} \sin\left((\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t+t')\right) - e^{-\beta t'} \sin\left((\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} t'\right)\right)^2 dt' \\
&\leq (\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{-1} \int_0^s \left((\beta + (\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})t \wedge 2\right)^2 dt' \\
&\leq 4T \frac{\lambda_k^b t^2 \wedge 1}{\lambda_k^b - \beta^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We get a similar result in the case $\lambda_k^b \leq \beta^2$, that is, a term of order $O(t^2)$. In this case the dependence of this term on k is unimportant as there are only finitely many k such that $\lambda_k^b \leq \beta^2$. There therefore exists a constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_0^s \left(V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t+t') - V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t')\right)^2 dt' \leq C' t^2 + 4T \sum_{k:\lambda_k^b > \beta^2} \frac{\lambda_k^b t^2 \wedge 1}{\lambda_k^b - \beta^2}.$$

Using Proposition 2.6, there therefore exists $C'' > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^s \left(V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t+t') - V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t') \right)^2 dt' \leq C'' \left(t^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\frac{2}{d_s}} \wedge t^2 \right),$$

and we are in a position to use Lemma 3.1. It gives us that there exists a $C''' > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^s \left(V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t+t') - V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t') \right)^2 dt' \leq C''' t^{2-d_s}.$$

Now for the second sum of (3.1), again we first look at the case $\lambda_k^b > \beta^2$. Using Lipschitz coefficents and the fact that $V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, 0) = 0$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \left(V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t') \right)^2 dr &= (\lambda - \beta^2)^{-1} \int_0^t e^{-2\beta t'} \sin^2 \left((\lambda - \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} t' \right) dt' \\
&\leq (\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{-1} \int_0^t \left((\beta + (\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) t' \wedge 1 \right)^2 dt' \\
&\leq 4(\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{-1} \int_0^t \left(\lambda_k^b (t')^2 \wedge 1 \right) dt' \\
&\leq 4t \frac{\lambda_k^b t^2 \wedge 1}{\lambda_k^b - \beta^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the case $\lambda_k^b \leq \beta^2$ we get as usual a similar result, of order $O(t^3)$, and its dependence on k is unimportant as there are only finitely many. Using the same method as for the first sum of (3.1) we see that there exists a $C'''' > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^t \left(V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, t') \right)^2 dt' \leq C'''' t^{3-d_s}.$$

Plugging the estimates into (3.1) finishes the proof. □

Definition 3.3. Let (M_1, d_1) and (M_2, d_2) be metric spaces and let $\delta \in (0, 1]$. A function $f : M_1 \to M_2$ is *essentially δ-Hölder continuous* if for each $\gamma \in (0, \delta)$ there exists $C_\gamma > 0$ such that

$$d_2(f(x), f(y)) \leq C_\gamma d_1(x, y)^\gamma$$

for all $x, y \in M_1$.

Theorem 3.4 ($\mathcal{L}^2$-Hölder continuity)**.** *Let $u : [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{H}$ be the solution to the SPDE (1.2). Then for all $T > 0$, the restriction of u to $[0, T]$ is almost surely essentially $(1 - \frac{d_s}{2})$-Hölder continuous.*

Proof. Fix $T > 0$. This is a simple application of Kolmogorov's continuity theorem. It is a consequence of Fernique's theorem [dPZ14, Theorem 2.7] that for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there

exists a constant $K_p > 0$ such that if Z is a Gaussian random variable on some separable Banach space B then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|Z\|_B^{2p}\right] \leq K_p \mathbb{E}\left[\|Z\|_B^2\right]^p,$$

see also [Hai09, Proposition 3.14]. Since u is a Gaussian process, Proposition 3.2 gives us that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|u(s) - u(t)\|_\mu^{2p}\right] \leq K_p C^p |s-t|^{p(2-d_s)}$$

for all $s, t \in [0, T]$, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by taking p arbitrarily large and using Kolmogorov's continuity theorem, the result follows. □

4 Pointwise regularity

Let u be the solution to (1.2) in Theorem 2.15. We currently have u as an $\mathcal{H}$-valued process, so in this section we will verify that the point evaluations $u(t,x)$ for $(t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times F$ make sense. This will allow us to interpret u as a random function from $[0,\infty) \times F$ to $\mathbb{R}$, and is necessary for us to be able to talk about continuity of u in space and time.

4.1 Resolvent density

We develop and expand some theory from [HY16, Section 4]:

Definition 4.1. For $\lambda > 0$ and $b \in 2^{F^0}$ let $\rho_\lambda^b : F \times F \to \mathbb{R}$ be the *resolvent density* associated with L_b. By [Bar98, Theorem 7.20], ρ_λ^N exists and satisfies the following:

(1). (Reproducing kernel property.) For $x \in F$, $\rho_\lambda^N(x, \cdot)$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{D}_N = \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\langle \rho_\lambda^N(x,\cdot), f\rangle_\lambda = f(x)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}_N$.

(2). (Resolvent kernel property.) For all continuous $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and all $x \in F$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_t^N f(x) dt = \int_F \rho_\lambda^N(x,y) f(y) \mu(dy).$$

By a density argument it follows that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_t^N f dt = \int_F \rho_\lambda^N(\cdot, y) f(y) \mu(dy).$$

(3). ρ_λ^N is symmetric and bounded. We define (for now) $c_3(\lambda) > 0$ such that

$$c_3(\lambda) \geq \sup_{x,y \in F} |\rho_\lambda^N(x,y)|.$$

(4). (Hölder continuity.) For this same constant $c_3(\lambda)$ we have that for all $x, y, y' \in F$,

$$|\rho_\lambda^N(x,y) - \rho_\lambda^N(x,y')|^2 \leq c_3(\lambda) R(y,y').$$

Using symmetry this Hölder continuity result holds in the first argument as well.

By an identical argument to [Bar98, Theorem 7.20], ρ_λ^b exists for each $b \in 2^{F^0}$ and satisfies the analogous results with $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_b)$ and the semigroup S^b. By the reproducing kernel property it follows that for every $x \in F$, $\rho_\lambda^b(x,\cdot)$ must be the $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$-orthogonal projection of $\rho_\lambda^N(x,\cdot)$ onto $\mathcal{D}_b$. We now choose $c_3(\lambda)$ large enough that it does not depend on the value of $b \in 2^{F^0}$ for (3) and (4).

Proposition 4.2 (Lipschitz resolvent)**.** *For $\lambda > 0$, there exists $c_4(\lambda) > 0$ such that if $b \in 2^{F^0}$ and $x, x', y \in F$ then*

$$\left|\rho_\lambda^b(x',y) - \rho_\lambda^b(x,y)\right| \leq c_4(\lambda) R(x,x').$$

Proof. Since 2^{F^0} is finite it is enough to find $c_4(\lambda; b) > 0$ such that

$$\left|\rho_\lambda^b(x',y) - \rho_\lambda^b(x,y)\right| \leq c_4(\lambda; b) R(x,x') \tag{4.1}$$

for all $x, x', y \in F$, for each $b \in 2^{F^0}$. The proofs for $b \in \{N, D\}$ are given in [HY16, Proposition 4.6]. The proof for the remaining values of b is more or less identical to the proof for $b = D$, but we give it here for the sake of completeness.

Fix $b \in 2^{F^0} \setminus \{N\}$. Let $M_b = |F^0 \setminus b| > 0$ and enumerate the elements of $F^0 \setminus b$ by

$$F^0 \setminus b = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{M_b}\}.$$

As we have noted before, for every $z \in F$, $\rho_\lambda^b(z,\cdot)$ must be the $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$-orthogonal projection of $\rho_\lambda^N(z,\cdot)$ onto $\mathcal{D}_b$. Let $\mathcal{D}_b^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{D}_b$ in $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$. Evidently $\mathcal{D}_b$ has codimension M_b in $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$, so $\dim \mathcal{D}_b^\perp = M_b$. Now for every $1 \leq i \leq M_b$ and every $f \in \mathcal{D}_b$ we have that

$$\langle \rho_\lambda^N(x_i,\cdot), f \rangle_\lambda = f(x_i) = 0,$$

so $\rho_\lambda^N(x_i,\cdot) \in \mathcal{D}_b^\perp$ for all $1 \leq i \leq M_b$. It is clear that the collection $\{\rho_\lambda^N(x_i,\cdot)\}_{i=1}^{M_b}$ is linearly independent (since for any real constants α_i there exists a harmonic function $f \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{M_b} \alpha_i f(x_i) \neq 0$), so it must in fact span $\mathcal{D}_b^\perp$. Thus there exists a square matrix $A^\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{M_b \times M_b}$ such that if

$$\rho_\lambda^i := \sum_{j=1}^{M_b} A_{ij}^\lambda \rho_\lambda^N(x_j,\cdot)$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq M_b$ then $\{\rho_\lambda^i\}_{i=1}^{M_b}$ is a $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$-orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{D}_b^\perp$. Hence for all $z \in F$ we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_\lambda^b(z,\cdot) &= \rho_\lambda^N(z,\cdot) - \sum_{i=1}^{M_b} \langle \rho_\lambda^N(z,\cdot), \rho_\lambda^i \rangle_\lambda \rho_\lambda^i \\
&= \rho_\lambda^N(z,\cdot) - \sum_{i=1}^{M_b} \sum_{j=1}^{M_b} \sum_{k=1}^{M_b} A_{ij}^\lambda A_{ik}^\lambda \rho_\lambda^N(z,x_j) \rho_\lambda^N(x_k,\cdot).
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $A_{\max} := \max_{i,j} |A^\lambda_{ij}|$ and using the boundedness and symmetry of ρ^N_λ with (4.1) for $b = N$ we finally see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\rho^b_\lambda(y,x) - \rho^b_\lambda(y,x')\right| \\
&\leq \left|\rho^N_\lambda(y,x) - \rho^N_\lambda(y,x')\right| + M_b^2 A^2_{\max} c_3(\lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{M_b} \left|\rho^N_\lambda(x_k,x) - \rho^N_\lambda(x_k,x')\right| \\
&\leq R(x,x')\lambda c_4(\lambda;N)\left(1 + M_b^3 A^2_{\max} c_3(\lambda)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and then the symmetry of ρ^b_λ gives us the required result. □

We will henceforth be using the constants $c_3(\lambda)$ and $c_4(\lambda)$ exclusively in the case $\lambda = 1$, so let $c_3 = c_3(1)$ and $c_4 = c_4(1)$.

4.2 Pointwise regularity of solution

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\beta \geq 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. If $\alpha > 0$ then*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-2\alpha t} V_\beta(\lambda,t)^2 dt = \frac{1}{4(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha^2+2\alpha\beta+\lambda)}$$

Proof. Easily checked in each of the cases $\lambda < \beta^2$, $\lambda = \beta^2$ and $\lambda > \beta^2$ using Definition 2.11. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $u : [0,\infty) \to \mathcal{H}$ be the solution to the SPDE (1.2). If $g \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t \in [0,\infty)$ then*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\langle u(t), g\rangle^2_\mu\right] \leq \frac{e^{2(\sqrt{\beta^2+1}-\beta)t}}{4\sqrt{\beta^2+1}} \int_F \int_F \rho^b_1(x,y) g(x) g(y) \mu(dx)\mu(dy).$$

Proof. Let $g^* \in \mathcal{H}^*$ be the bounded linear functional $f \mapsto \langle f, g\rangle_\mu$. We see by Itō's isometry that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\langle u(t), g\rangle^2_\mu\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[g^*(u(t))^2\right] \\
&= \int_0^t \|g^* V_\beta(-L_b, s)\|^2_{\mathrm{HS}} ds \\
&= \int_0^t \|V_\beta(-L_b, s) g\|^2_\mu ds
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is a result of the self-adjointness of the operator $V_\beta(-L_b, s)$. If

we let $g_k = \langle \varphi_k^b, g\rangle_\mu$ for $k \geq 1$ then for any $\alpha > 0$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\langle u(t), g\rangle_\mu^2\right] &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k^2 \int_0^t V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, s)^2 ds \\
&\leq e^{2\alpha t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-2\alpha s} V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, s)^2 ds \\
&= e^{2\alpha t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k^2 \frac{1}{4(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha^2 + 2\alpha\beta + \lambda_k^b)} \\
&= \frac{e^{2\alpha t}}{4(\alpha+\beta)} \left\langle (\alpha^2 + 2\alpha\beta - L_b)^{-1} g, g \right\rangle_\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 4.3. Then a well-known resolvent identity gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle (\alpha^2 + 2\alpha\beta - L_b)^{-1} g, g \right\rangle_\mu &= \left\langle \int_0^\infty e^{-(\alpha^2+2\alpha\beta)t} S_t^b g dt, g \right\rangle_\mu \\
&= \int_F \int_F \rho^b_{\alpha^2+2\alpha\beta}(x,y) g(x) g(y) \mu(dx) \mu(dy).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we pick $\alpha = \sqrt{\beta^2 + 1} - \beta$ so that $\alpha^2 + 2\alpha\beta = 1$ and the proof is complete. □

Definition 4.5. For $x \in F$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ let $B(x, \varepsilon)$ be the closed R-ball in F with centre x and radius ε.

Lemma 4.6 (Neighbourhoods)**.** *There exists a constant $c_5 > 0$ such that the following holds: If $x \in F$ and $n \geq 0$ then there exists a subset $D_n^0(x) \subseteq F$ such that $D_n^0(x) \ni x$, $\mu(D_n^0(x)) > r_{\min}^{d_H} 2^{-d_H n}$ and*

$$D_n^0(x) \subseteq B(x, c_5 2^{-n}).$$

Proof. [HY16, Section 3], with $N_0 = 2$. Mainly [HY16, Definition 3.3], [HY16, Definition 3.10] and [HY16, Proposition 3.12]. The result on $\mu(D_n^0(x))$ is due to the fact that by definition, $F_w \subseteq D_n^0(x)$ for some $w \in \mathbb{W}_*$ such that $r_w > r_{\min} 2^{-n}$. Using the definition of μ we have that $\mu(F_w) = r_w^{d_H}$, and so the result follows. □

Definition 4.7. For $x \in F$ and $n \geq 0$, define

$$f_n^x = \mu(D_n^0(x))^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{D_n^0(x)},$$

see [FKN11, Section 7.2].

Evidently $f_n^x \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|f_n^x\|_\mu^2 = \mu(D_n^0(x))^{-1} < r_{\min}^{-d_H} 2^{d_H n}$ (by the above lemma) and if $g \in \mathcal{H}$ is continuous then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle f_n^x, g\rangle_\mu = g(x),$$

by the above lemma. We can now state and prove the main theorem of this section, compare [HY16, Theorem 4.9].

Theorem 4.8 (Pointwise regularity)**.** *Let $u : [0,\infty) \to \mathcal{H}$ be the solution to the SPDE (1.2). Then for all $(t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times F$ the expression*

$$u(t,x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k^{b,\beta}(t)\varphi_k^b(x)$$

is a well-defined real-valued centred Gaussian random variable. There exists a constant $c_6 > 0$ such that for all $x \in F$, $t \in [0,\infty)$ and $n \geq 0$ we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(\langle u(t), f_n^x\rangle_\mu - u(t,x))^2\right] \leq c_6 e^{2(\sqrt{\beta^2+1}-\beta)t} 2^{-n}.$$

Proof. Note that $\varphi_k^b \in \mathcal{D}(L_b)$ for each k, so φ_k^b is continuous and so $\varphi_k^b(x)$ is well-defined. By the definition of $u(t,x)$ as a sum of real-valued centred Gaussian random variables we need only prove that it is square-integrable and that the approximation estimate holds. Let $x \in F$. The theorem is trivial for $t = 0$ so let $t \in (0,\infty)$. By Lemma 4.4 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[\langle u(t), f_n^x - f_m^x\rangle_\mu^2\right] \\ &\quad\leq \frac{e^{2(\sqrt{\beta^2+1}-\beta)t}}{4\sqrt{\beta^2+1}} \int_F \int_F \rho_1^b(z_1,z_2)(f_n^x(z_1) - f_m^x(z_1))(f_n^x(z_2) - f_m^x(z_2))\mu(dz_1)\mu(dz_2).\end{aligned}$$

Then using the definition of f_n^x, Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.6 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\langle u(t), f_n^x - f_m^x\rangle_\mu^2\right] &\leq \frac{e^{2(\sqrt{\beta^2+1}-\beta)t}}{4\sqrt{\beta^2+1}} \left(4c_4c_5 2^{-n} + 4c_4c_5 2^{-m}\right) \\ &= \frac{e^{2(\sqrt{\beta^2+1}-\beta)t}}{\sqrt{\beta^2+1}} c_4c_5 \left(2^{-n} + 2^{-m}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Writing u in its series representation (2.4) and using the independence of the $Y_k^{b,\beta}$, this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[Y_k^{b,\beta}(t)^2\right] \left(\langle \varphi_k^b, f_n^x\rangle_\mu - \langle \varphi_k^b, f_m^x\rangle_\mu\right)^2 \leq \frac{e^{2(\sqrt{\beta^2+1}-\beta)t}}{\sqrt{\beta^2+1}} c_4c_5 \left(2^{-n} + 2^{-m}\right).$$

It follows that the left-hand side tends to zero as $m,n \to \infty$. The solution u is an $\mathcal{H}$-valued process so we know that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[Y_k^{b,\beta}(t)^2\right] \langle \varphi_k^b, f_n^x\rangle_\mu^2 = \mathbb{E}\left[\langle u(t), f_n^x\rangle_\mu^2\right] < \infty$$

for all $x \in F$, $n \geq 0$ and $t \in [0,\infty)$, therefore by the completeness of the sequence space ℓ^2 there must exist a unique sequence $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty y_k^2 < \infty$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[Y_k^{b,\beta}(t)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \varphi_k^b, f_n^x\rangle_\mu - y_k\right)^2 = 0.$$

Since φ_k^b is continuous we have $\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle\varphi_k^b, f_n^x\rangle_\mu = \varphi_k^b(x)$. Thus by Fatou's lemma we can identify the sequence (y_k). We must have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[Y_k^{b,\beta}(t)^2\right]\varphi_k^b(x)^2 < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[Y_k^{b,\beta}(t)^2\right]\left(\langle\varphi_k^b, f_n^x\rangle_\mu - \varphi_k^b(x)\right)^2 = 0.$$

Equivalently by (2.4),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[u(t,x)^2\right] < \infty$$

(so we have proven square-integrability) and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\langle u(t), f_n^x\rangle_\mu - u(t,x)\right)^2\right] = 0.$$

In particular by taking $m \to \infty$ in (4.2) we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\langle u(t), f_n^x\rangle_\mu - u(t,x)\right)^2\right] \le \frac{e^{2(\sqrt{\beta^2+1}-\beta)t}}{\sqrt{\beta^2+1}}c_4c_5 2^{-n}.$$

□

We can now interpret our solution u as a so-called "random field" solution $u : [0,\infty)\times F \to \mathbb{R}$. Again we have suppressed the dependence of u on the underlying probability space.

5 Space-time Hölder continuity

Now that we have the interpretation of the solution u to (1.2) as a random function $u : [0,\infty)\times F \to \mathbb{R}$, we can prove results about its continuity in time and space.

Definition 5.1. Let R_∞ be the supremum metric on $\mathbb{R}\times F$ given by

$$R_\infty((s,x),(t,y)) = |s-t| \vee R(x,y).$$

Our main tool is the following result, a version of the Kolmogorov continuity theorem proven in [HY16, Theorem 3.16] and [HY16, Corollary 3.18]:

Proposition 5.2 (Fractal continuity theorem)**.** *Let (E,d) be a metric space and let $T>0$. Let $\xi = (\xi_{tx} : (t,x)\in[0,T]\times F)$ be an E-valued process indexed by $[0,T]\times F$ and let $C,\alpha,\gamma,\gamma' > 0$ be such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[d(\xi_{tx},\xi_{ty})^\alpha\right] \le CR(x,y)^{\gamma_1+\gamma},$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left[d(\xi_{sx},\xi_{tx})^\alpha\right] \le C|s-t|^{\gamma_1+\gamma'}$$

for all $s, t \in [0,T]$ and all $x, y \in F$, where

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{\log M}{\log r_{\max}^{-1}} + 1.$$

Then there exists a version $\hat{\xi} = (\hat{\xi}_{tx} : (t,x) \in [0,T] \times F)$ of ξ which satisfies the following:

(1). The map $(t,x) \mapsto \hat{\xi}_{tx}$ is almost surely essentially δ_0-Hölder continuous with respect to R_∞ where

$$\delta_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\gamma \wedge \gamma'\right).$$

(2). For every $t \in [0,T]$ the map $x \mapsto \hat{\xi}_{tx}$ is almost surely essentially δ_1-Hölder continuous with respect to R where

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(1 + \gamma\right).$$

(3). For every $x \in F$ the map $t \mapsto \hat{\xi}_{tx}$ is almost surely essentially δ_2-Hölder continuous with respect to the Euclidean metric where

$$\delta_2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{\log M}{\log r_{\max}^{-1}} + \gamma'\right).$$

5.1 Spatial estimate

Compare [HY16, Section 5.1].

Proposition 5.3. *Let $T > 0$. Let $u : [0,T] \times F \to \mathbb{R}$ be (the restriction of) the solution to the SPDE (1.2). Then there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(u(t,x) - u(t,y))^2\right] \leq C_1 R(x,y)$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$ and all $x, y \in F$.

Proof. Recall from Theorem 4.8 that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\langle u(t), f_n^x\rangle_\mu - u(t,x)\right)^2\right] = 0,$$

and an analogous result holds for y. Thus by Lemma 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[(u(t,x) - u(t,y))^2\right] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\langle u(t), f_n^x - f_n^y\rangle_\mu^2\right] \\
&\leq \frac{e^{2(\sqrt{\beta^2+1}-\beta)t}}{4\sqrt{\beta^2+1}} \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_F \int_F \rho_1^b(z_1,z_2)(f_n^x(z_1) - f_n^y(z_1))(f_n^x(z_2) - f_n^y(z_2))\mu(dz_1)\mu(dz_2) \\
&= \frac{e^{2(\sqrt{\beta^2+1}-\beta)t}}{4\sqrt{\beta^2+1}} \left(\rho_1^b(x,x) - 2\rho_1^b(x,y) + \rho_1^b(y,y)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Proposition 4.2, Lemma 4.6 and the definition of f_n^x (similarly to the proof of Theorem 4.8). Hence again by Proposition 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[(u(t,x)-u(t,y))^2\right] &\leq \frac{e^{2(\sqrt{\beta^2+1}-\beta)T}}{4\sqrt{\beta^2+1}}\left(\rho_1^b(x,x)-\rho_1^b(x,y)+\rho_1^b(y,y)-\rho_1^b(y,x)\right)\\ &\leq c_4\frac{e^{2(\sqrt{\beta^2+1}-\beta)T}}{2\sqrt{\beta^2+1}}R(x,y).\end{aligned}$$

□

5.2 Temporal estimate

We need a lemma before proving the actual result.

Lemma 5.4. *We have the following estimates on V_β and $\dot{V}_\beta$:*

(1). Let $\beta \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$. Then

$$\sup_{\lambda\geq 0}|V_\beta(\lambda,t)| = \begin{cases}\beta^{-1}e^{-\beta t}\sinh(\beta t) & \beta>0,\\ t & \beta=0.\end{cases}$$

In particular, $\sup_{\lambda\geq 0}|V_\beta(\lambda,t)|$ is $O(t)$ as $t\to 0$.

(2). Let $\beta \geq 0$ and $T \geq 0$. Then

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sup_{\lambda\geq 0}|\dot{V}_\beta(\lambda,t)| \leq e^{\beta T}\vee T.$$

Proof. It is easy, if somewhat tedious, to prove that V_β and $\dot{V}_\beta$ are both continuous in λ for fixed $t \geq 0$. Note that

$$\lim_{x\to 0}\frac{\sin x}{x} = 1 = \lim_{x\to 0}\frac{\sinh x}{x}$$

and

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}}\left|\frac{\sin x}{x}\right| = 1 = \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}}\left|\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right|.$$

For (1), assume that $t > 0$ (otherwise the result is trivial). We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\lambda>\beta^2}|V_\beta(\lambda,t)| &= te^{-\beta t}\sup_{\lambda>\beta^2}\left|\left((\lambda-\beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}t\right)^{-1}\sin\left((\lambda-\beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}t\right)\right|\\ &= te^{-\beta t}\\ &= |V_\beta(\beta^2,t)|,\end{aligned}$$

so we need only consider the case $\lambda \leq \beta^2$. If $\beta = 0$ then this directly implies the result. Suppose now that $\beta > 0$. The function $x \mapsto \frac{\sinh x}{x}$ is positive and increasing when x is positive so by continuity we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} |V_\beta(\lambda, t)| &= \sup_{\lambda \leq \beta^2} |V_\beta(\lambda, t)| \\
&= te^{-\beta t} \sup_{\lambda < \beta^2} \left(\left((\beta^2 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \right)^{-1} \sinh \left((\beta^2 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \right) \right) \\
&= te^{-\beta t} (\beta t)^{-1} \sinh(\beta t) \\
&= \beta^{-1} e^{-\beta t} \sinh(\beta t)
\end{aligned}$$

which is the required result.

Now for (2), assume that $T > 0$, otherwise the result is trivial. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{\lambda > \beta^2} |\dot{V}_\beta(\lambda, t)| \\
&\quad = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{\lambda > \beta^2} \left| e^{-\beta t} \cos \left((\lambda - \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \right) - \beta (\lambda - \beta^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta t} \sin \left((\lambda - \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \right) \right| \\
&\quad \leq 1 + \beta T
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{\lambda < \beta^2} |\dot{V}_\beta(\lambda, t)| \\
&\quad = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{\lambda < \beta^2} \left| e^{-\beta t} \cosh \left((\beta^2 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \right) - \beta (\beta^2 - \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta t} \sinh \left((\beta^2 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \right) \right| \\
&\quad \leq \cosh(\beta T) + \beta T \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{\lambda < \beta^2} \left(\left((\beta^2 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \right)^{-1} \sinh \left((\beta^2 - \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \right) \right) \\
&\quad \leq \cosh(\beta T) + \sinh(\beta T) = e^{\beta T}
\end{aligned}$$

and finally $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\dot{V}_\beta(\beta^2, t)| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| te^{-\beta t} \right| \leq T$. Finally we note that we always have the estimate $1 + \beta T \leq e^{\beta T}$. □

We can now give the temporal estimate. Compare [HY16, Proposition 5.5].

Proposition 5.5. *Let $T > 0$. Let $u : [0, T] \times F \to \mathbb{R}$ be (the restriction of) the solution to the SPDE (1.2). Then there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(u(s, x) - u(s + t, x))^2 \right] \leq C_2 t^{2 - d_s}$$

for all $s, t \geq 0$ such that $s, s + t \leq T$ and all $x \in F$.

Proof. Let $c_6' := 8c_6 e^{2(\sqrt{\beta^2 + 1} - \beta)T}$. By Theorem 4.8 we have that if $n \geq 0$ is an integer then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(u(s, x) - u(s + t, x))^2 \right] \leq 2\mathbb{E}\left[\langle u(s) - u(s + t), f_n^x \rangle_\mu^2 \right] + c_6' 2^{-n}. \tag{5.1}$$

Then Itō's isometry for Hilbert spaces (see also proof of Lemma 4.4) gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\langle u(s)-u(s+t), f_n^x\rangle_\mu^2\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle \int_0^{s+t} \left(V_\beta(-L_b, s+t-t') - V_\beta(-L_b, s-t')\mathbb{1}_{\{t'\le s\}}\right) dW(t'), f_n^x \right\rangle_\mu^2\right] \\
&= \int_0^{s+t} \left\| \left(V_\beta(-L_b, s+t-t') - V_\beta(-L_b, s-t')\mathbb{1}_{\{t'\le s\}}\right) f_n^x \right\|_\mu^2 dt' \\
&\le \|f_n^x\|_\mu^2 \int_0^{s+t} \left\| V_\beta(-L_b, s+t-t') - V_\beta(-L_b, s-t')\mathbb{1}_{\{t'\le s\}} \right\|^2 dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of f_n^x we have that $\|f_n^x\|_\mu^2 < r_{\min}^{-d_H} 2^{d_H n}$. On the other hand using the functional calculus we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{s+t} \left\| V_\beta(-L_b, s+t-t') - V_\beta(-L_b, s-t')\mathbb{1}_{\{t'\le s\}} \right\|^2 dt' \\
&= \int_0^{s} \left\| V_\beta(-L_b, s+t-t') - V_\beta(-L_b, s-t') \right\|^2 dt' + \int_s^{s+t} \left\| V_\beta(-L_b, s+t-t') \right\|^2 dt' \\
&= \int_0^{s} \left\| V_\beta(-L_b, t+t') - V_\beta(-L_b, t') \right\|^2 dt' + \int_0^{t} \left\| V_\beta(-L_b, t') \right\|^2 dt' \\
&\le \int_0^{s} \sup_{\lambda\ge 0} \left(V_\beta(\lambda, t+t') - V_\beta(-L_b, t')\right)^2 dt' + \int_0^t \sup_{\lambda\ge 0} V_\beta(-L_b, t')^2 dt' \\
&\le t^2 T \sup_{0\le t'\le T} \sup_{\lambda\ge 0} \dot{V}_\beta(\lambda, t')^2 + \int_0^t \sup_{\lambda\ge 0} V_\beta(-L_b, t')^2 dt',
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used the mean value theorem. Therefore by using Lemma 5.4 there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{s+t} \left\| V_\beta(-L_b, s+t-t') - V_\beta(-L_b, s-t')\mathbb{1}_{\{t'\le s\}} \right\|^2 dt' \le ct^2$$

for all $s, t \ge 0$ such that $s, s+t \le T$. Letting $c' = 2r_{\min}^{-d_H} c$ and plugging this into (5.1) we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(u(s,x) - u(s+t,x))^2\right] \le c' t^2 2^{d_H n} + c_6' 2^{-n}.$$

for all $s, t \ge 0$ such that $s, s+t \le T$ and all $x \in F$. In fact, defining

$$c_6'' := c_6' \vee d_H c' T^2,$$

we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(u(s,x) - u(s+t,x))^2\right] \le c' t^2 2^{d_H n} + c_6'' 2^{-n} \tag{5.2}$$

as well. This estimate will turn out to be easier to work with.

We assume now that $t > 0$, and our aim is to choose $n \geq 0$ to minimise the expression on the right of (5.2). Fixing $t \in (0, T]$, define $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $g(y) = c't^2 2^{d_H y} + c_6'' 2^{-y}$. The function g has a unique stationary point which is a global minimum at

$$y_0 = \frac{1}{(d_H + 1)\log 2} \log\left(\frac{c_6''}{d_H c' t^2}\right).$$

Since $t \leq T$ we have by the definition of c_6'' that $y_0 \geq 0$. Since y_0 is not necessarily an integer we choose $n = \lceil y_0 \rceil$. Then g is increasing in $[y_0, \infty)$ so we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(u(s,x) - u(s+t,x))^2\right] \leq g(n) \leq g(y_0 + 1).$$

Setting $c_6''' := \frac{c_6''}{d_H c'}$ and evaluating the right-hand side we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[(u(s,x) - u(s+t,x))^2\right] &\leq c' t^2 2^{d_H} \left(\frac{c_6'''}{t^2}\right)^{\frac{d_H}{d_H+1}} + c_6' 2^{-1} \left(\frac{c_6'''}{t^2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{d_H+1}} \\
&\leq c_6'''' t^{\frac{2}{d_H+1}} \\
&= c_6'''' t^{2-d_s}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $s \geq 0$, $t > 0$ such that $s, s + t \leq T$ and all $x \in F$, where the constant $c_6'''' > 0$ is independent of s, t, x. This inequality obviously also holds in the case $t = 0$. □

5.3 Hölder continuity

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this paper. Recall R_∞ the natural supremum metric on $\mathbb{R} \times F$ given by

$$R_\infty((s,x),(t,y)) = \max\{|s-t|, R(x,y)\}.$$

Theorem 5.6 (Space-time Hölder continuity)**.** *Let $u : [0,\infty) \times F \to \mathbb{R}$ be the solution to the SPDE* (1.2)*. Let $\delta = 1 - \frac{d_s}{2}$. Then there exists a version $\tilde{u}$ of u which satisfies the following:*

(1). For each $T > 0$, $\tilde{u}$ is almost surely essentially $(\frac{1}{2} \wedge \delta)$-Hölder continuous on $[0,T] \times F$ with respect to R_∞.

(2). For each $t \in [0,\infty)$, $\tilde{u}(t,\cdot)$ is almost surely essentially $\frac{1}{2}$-Hölder continuous on F with respect to R.

(3). For each $x \in F$, $\tilde{u}(\cdot,x)$ is almost surely essentially δ-Hölder continuous on $[0,T]$ with respect to the Euclidean metric.

Proof. Take $T > 0$ and consider u_T, the restriction of u to $[0,T] \times F$. It is an easily verifiable fact that for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $C_p' > 0$ such that if Z is any centred real Gaussian random variable then

$$\mathbb{E}[Z^{2p}] = C_p' \mathbb{E}[Z^2]^p.$$

We also know that u_T is a centred Gaussian process on $[0,T] \times F$ by Theorem 4.8. Propositions 5.3 and 5.5 then give us the estimates

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(u_T(t,x) - u_T(t,y))^{2p}\right] \leq C_p' C_1^p R(x,y)^p,$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left[(u_T(s,x) - u_T(t,x))^{2p}\right] \leq C_p' C_2^p |s-t|^{p(2-d_s)}$$

for all $s,t \in [0,T]$ and all $x,y \in F$. Taking p arbitrarily large and then using Propostion 5.2 we get a version $\tilde{u}_T$ of u_T (that is, $\tilde{u}_T$ is a version of u on $[0,T] \times F$) that satisfies the Hölder regularity conditions of the theorem for the given value of T. This works because any two almost surely continuous versions of u_T must coincide almost surely since $[0,T] \times F$ is separable.

If now $T' > T$ and we construct a version $\tilde{u}_{T'}$ of u on $[0,T'] \times F$ in the same way, then $\tilde{u}_{T'}$ must agree with $\tilde{u}_T$ on $[0,T] \times F$ almost surely since both are almost surely continuous on $[0,T] \times F$ which is separable. Therefore let $T = n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let Ω' be the almost sure event

$$\Omega' = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\tilde{u}_{n+1} \text{ agrees with } \tilde{u}_n \text{ on } [0,n] \times F\}.$$

Then for $(t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times F$ define $\tilde{u}(t,x) = \tilde{u}_{\lceil t \rceil + 1}(t,x)$ on Ω' and $\tilde{u}(t,x) = 0$ otherwise, and we are done. □

6 Convergence to equilibrium

We conclude this paper with a brief discussion of the long-time behaviour of the solution u to the SPDE (1.2). We are interested in whether the solution "settles down" as $t \to \infty$ to some equilibrium measure. Intuitively, we expect this to be the case when the damping constant β satisfies $\beta > 0$, i.e. positive damping. However the undamped case $\beta = 0$ is less clear. We will treat the undamped case first, and then we will identify the limit in the damped case. Throughout this section we will use the interpretation of the solution $u : [0,\infty) \to \mathcal{H}$ as an $\mathcal{H}$-valued process. Recall the series representation of u,

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k^{b,\beta} \varphi_k^b,$$

given in (2.4).

Theorem 6.1 ($\beta = 0$)**.** *Let $u : [0,\infty) \to \mathcal{H}$ be the solution to the SPDE (1.2) with $\beta = 0$. Then $u(t)$ has no weak limit in $\mathcal{H}$ as $t \to \infty$.*

Proof. Fix any $k \geq 2$, so that $\lambda_k^b > 0$. Suppose for contradiction that there exists an $\mathcal{H}$-valued random variable u_∞ such that $u(t) \to u_\infty$ in law as $t \to \infty$. In particular, $\langle \varphi_k^b, u(t) \rangle_\mu \to \langle \varphi_k^b, u_\infty \rangle_\mu$ in law as $t \to \infty$. Since each $\langle \varphi_k^b, u(t) \rangle_\mu$ is a real centred Gaussian random variable, it follows (by taking characteristic functions for example) that

$\langle \varphi_k^b, u_\infty \rangle_\mu$ is a real centred Gaussian random variable. In particular we have convergence of variances, i.e.

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\langle \varphi_k^b, u(t)\rangle_\mu^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\langle \varphi_k^b, u_\infty\rangle_\mu^2\right] < \infty.$$

However, recall by Proposition 2.6 and the following remark that we always have $\lambda_2^b > 0$, so by Itō's isometry,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\langle \varphi_k^b, u(t)\rangle_\mu^2\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[(Y_k^{b,0}(t))^2\right] \\ &= \int_0^t V_0(\lambda_k^b, s)^2 ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k^b}\int_0^t \sin^2\left((\lambda_k^b)^{\frac{1}{2}} s\right) ds\end{aligned}$$

which tends to infinity as $t \to \infty$, yielding a contradiction. □

Remark 6.2. It is similarly possible to prove that if $\beta = 0$ then $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\langle f, u(t)\rangle_\mu^2\right] = \infty$ for *any* non-zero $f \in \mathcal{H}$, so no orthogonal projection of u can have a weak limit.

We now tackle the damped case $\beta > 0$. It turns out that we must split this further into two subcases: $b \neq N$ and $b = N$. This is due to the fact that $\lambda_1^N = 0$ whereas $\lambda_1^b > 0$ for all $b \neq N$, see Proposition 2.6.

Definition 6.3. Observe that if $\beta > 0$ and $b \in 2^{F^0} \setminus \{N\}$ then $V_\beta(\lambda, t)$ decays exponentially as $t \to \infty$ for any $\lambda \geq 0$. It follows that

$$\int_0^\infty V_\beta(\lambda, s)^2 ds < \infty \tag{6.1}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$, and so by Itō's isometry we may define

$$Z_k^{b,\beta}(\infty) := \int_0^\infty V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, s)\varphi_k^{b*} dW(s)$$

for $k \geq 1$, where $\varphi_k^{b*} \in \mathcal{H}^*$ is the bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{H}$ given by $f \mapsto \langle \varphi_k^b, f\rangle_\mu$. This is because $\lambda_k^b > 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, see Proposition 2.6 and subsequent remark.

Theorem 6.4 ($\beta > 0, b \neq N$)**.** *Let u be the solution to the SPDE* (1.2) *in the case of positive damping $\beta > 0$ and $b \neq N$. Then the sum*

$$u_\infty := \sum_{k=1}^\infty Z_k^{b,\beta}(\infty)\varphi_k^b$$

is a well-defined random element of $\mathcal{H}$, and $u(t) \to u_\infty$ weakly as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. For each $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $k \geq 1$, let

$$Z_k^{b,\beta}(t) = \int_0^t V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, s)\varphi_k^{b*} dW(s),$$

which evidently has the same law as $Y_k^{b,\beta}(t)$. Then for each $t \in [0,\infty)$ let

$$\bar{u}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_k^{b,\beta}(t)\varphi_k^b.$$

It is clear that $\bar{u}(t)$ is an $\mathcal{H}$-valued random variable with the same law as $u(t)$. Now for any $t \in [0,\infty)$ we have by Itō's isometry that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\|\hat{u}(t) - u_\infty\|_\mu^2\right] &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(Z_k^{b,\beta}(t) - Z_k^{b,\beta}(\infty)\right)^2\right] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_t^\infty V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, s)^2 ds \qquad (6.2) \\
&= \sum_{k:\lambda_k^b \le \beta^2} \int_t^\infty V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, s)^2 ds + \sum_{k:\lambda_k^b > \beta^2} \int_t^\infty V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, s)^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

We treat each of these terms separately. As we mentioned in Proposition 2.14, there are only finitely many k such that $\lambda_k^b \le \beta^2$, see Proposition 2.6. Then by (6.1) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k:\lambda_k^b \le \beta^2} \int_t^\infty V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, s)^2 ds < \infty, \quad t \ge 0, \\
\lim_{t\to\infty} \sum_{k:\lambda_k^b \le \beta^2} \int_t^\infty V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, s)^2 ds = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now for the the $\{k : \lambda_k^b > \beta^2\}$ sum we need to do some estimates. Our assumption that $\beta > 0$ allows us to improve on the estimates of Proposition 2.14:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k:\lambda_k^b > \beta^2} \int_t^\infty V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, s)^2 ds &= \sum_{k:\lambda_k^b > \beta^2} \frac{1}{\lambda_k^b - \beta^2} \int_t^\infty e^{-2\beta s} \sin^2\left((\lambda_k^b - \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} s\right) ds \\
&\le \sum_{k:\lambda_k^b > \beta^2} \frac{1}{\lambda_k^b - \beta^2} \int_t^\infty e^{-2\beta s} ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2\beta} e^{-2\beta t} \sum_{k:\lambda_k^b > \beta^2} \frac{1}{\lambda_k^b - \beta^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.6 the infinite sum above converges, so we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k:\lambda_k^b > \beta^2} \int_t^\infty V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, s)^2 ds < \infty, \quad t \ge 0, \\
\lim_{t\to\infty} \sum_{k:\lambda_k^b > \beta^2} \int_t^\infty V_\beta(\lambda_k^b, s)^2 ds = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $t = 0$ in (6.2), we have now proven that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|u_\infty\|_\mu^2\right] < \infty,$$

and so u_∞ is a well-defined $\mathcal{H}$-valued random variable. From (6.2) we have also proven that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\|\hat{u}(t) - u_\infty\|_\mu^2\right] = 0.$$

In particular this implies that $\hat{u}(t) \to u_\infty$ weakly as $t \to \infty$. Since $u(t)$ has the same law as $\hat{u}(t)$ for all t, this implies that $u(t) \to u_\infty$ weakly as $t \to \infty$. □

In the case $\beta > 0$ and $b = N$, we have the issue that $\lambda_1^N = 0$ so $V_\beta(\lambda_1^N, \cdot)$ is not square-integrable. We get around this issue by simply subtracting the associated term of the series representation of u, leaving only the square-integrable terms. Note that this approach fails in the case $\beta = 0$ because in that case there are *no* square-integrable terms.

Definition 6.5. We partially extend Definition 6.3 into the case $\beta > 0$, $b = N$. We have that

$$\int_0^\infty V_\beta(\lambda, s)^2 ds < \infty$$

for all $\lambda > 0$, so by Itō's isometry we may define

$$Z_k^{N,\beta}(\infty) := \int_0^\infty V_\beta(\lambda_k^N, s)\varphi_k^{N*} dW(s)$$

for $k \geq 2$.

Theorem 6.6 ($\beta > 0, b = N$)**.** *Let u be the solution to the SPDE (1.2) in the case of positive damping $\beta > 0$ and $b = N$. We have that $u - Y_1^{N,\beta}\varphi_1^N$ and $Y_1^{N,\beta}\varphi_1^N$ are independent $\mathcal{H}$-valued processes. Moreover, the sum*

$$u_\infty := \sum_{k=2}^\infty Z_k^{N,\beta}(\infty)\varphi_k^N$$

is a well-defined random element of $\mathcal{H}$, and $\left(u(t) - Y_1^{N,\beta}(t)\varphi_1^N\right) \to u_\infty$ weakly as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. From the series representation (2.4) of u, observe that $Y_1^{N,\beta}(t)\varphi_1^N$ is simply the component of $u(t)$ associated with the eigenfunction φ_1^N, so that

$$u(t) - Y_1^{N,\beta}(t)\varphi_1^N = \sum_{k=2}^\infty Y_k^{N,\beta}(t)\varphi_k^N,$$

and the independence result is clear. For each t we then define

$$Z_k^{N,\beta}(t) = \int_0^t V_\beta(\lambda_k^N, s)\varphi_k^{N*} dW(s)$$

and

$$\bar{u}(t) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} Z_k^{N,\beta}(t)\varphi_k^N,$$

so that $\bar{u}(t)$ has the same law as $u(t) - Y_1^{N,\beta}(t)\varphi_1^N$. The proof proceeds from here in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 6.4–we show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|u_\infty\|_\mu^2\right] < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\|\hat{u}(t) - u_\infty\|_\mu^2\right] = 0$$

which imply the result. □

References

[Bar98] M. T. Barlow. Diffusions on fractals. In P. Bernard, editor, *Lectures on Probability Theory and Statistics*. Springer, Berlin, 1998.

[BP88] M. T. Barlow and E. A. Perkins. Brownian motion on the sierpinski gasket. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 79(4):543–623, 1988.

[Cab70] E. M. Cabaña. The vibrating string forced by white noise. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete*, 15(2):111–130, 1970.

[Con94] J. B. Conway. *A course in functional analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York, New York, NY, United States, 2 edition, 1994.

[dPZ14] G. da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*. Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, 2014.

[DSV99] K. Dalrymple, R. S. Strichartz, and J. P. Vinson. Fractal differential equations on the sierpinski gasket. *The Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, 5(2-3):203–284, 1999.

[EE87] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans. *Spectral theory and differential operators*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1987.

[EN99] K. Engel and R. Nagel. *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

[FKN11] M. Foondun, D. Khoshnevisan, and E. Nualart. A local-time correspondence for stochastic partial differential equations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 363(5):2481–2515, 2011.

[FOT10] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, and M. Takeda. *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*. Walter de Gruyter & Co, New York, 2010.

[Gol87] S. Goldstein. Random walks and diffusions on fractals. In H. Kesten, editor, *Percolation theory and ergodic theory of infinite particle systems*, volume 8, pages 121–129. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[Hai09] M. Hairer. An introduction to stochastic pdes. Technical report, 2009.

[Hu02] J. Hu. Nonlinear wave equations on a class of bounded fractal sets. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 270(2):657–680, 2002.

[HW06] J. Hu and X. Wang. Domains of dirichlet forms and effective resistance estimates on p.c.f. fractals. *Studia Mathematica*, 177(2):153–172, 2006.

[HY16] B. Hambly and W. Yang. Existence and space-time regularity for stochastic heat equations on p.c.f. fractals. *arXiv:1609.08960*, 2016.

[IZ15] E. Issoglio and M. Zähle. Regularity of the solutions to spdes in metric measure spaces. *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, 3(2):272–289, 2015.

[Kig01] J. Kigami. *Analysis on Fractals*. Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, 2001.

[Kig03] J. Kigami. Harmonic analysis for resistance forms. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 204(2):399–444, 2003.

[Kus87] S. Kusuoka. A diffusion process on a fractal. In K. Ito and N. Ikeda, editors, *Probabilistic Methods on Mathematical Physics, Proc. of Taniguchi International Symp.*, pages 251–274. Kinokuniya, 1987.

[LL11] N. Lin and S. V. Lototsky. Undamped harmonic oscillator driven by additive gaussian white noise: A statistical analysis. *Communications on Stochastic Analysis*, 5(1):233–250, 2011.

[RS81] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics I: Functional analysis*. Elsevier Science, London, 8 edition, 1981.

[Str03] R. S. Strichartz. Function spaces on fractals. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 198(1):43–83, 2003.

[Wal86] J. B. Walsh. An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. In A. Dold and B. Eckmann, editors, *École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIV-1984*. Springer-Verlag, 1986.